Northern Lights Library Board Chauvin Municipal Library Policy – reviewed/approved June 7, 2022

Subject: Censorship	Policy: Request for Reconsideration of a Publication
Date Approved:	Date Reviewed

PHILOSOPHY:

The Library Manager and the Board will rely on his/her best judgment in consultation with reputable review sources in the selection of library resources.

POLICY:

The Board will consider any complaint with respect to library resources presented by the Library Manager.

PROCEDURE:

- ➤ On receipt of a complaint about a specific library resource, the Library Manager will inform the complainant of the censorship policy and request that a "Member's Request for Reconsideration of a Publication" form be completed in full.
 - 1. The Library Manager will present the completed and related research materials to the Board, which will initiate reconsideration of the item.
 - 2. The findings will be discussed at the next Board meeting, at which time a motion will be made with respect to the resource under consideration.
 - 3. A majority vote by the Board is required for any of the following actions.
 - a) Maintaining the resource in circulation.
 - b) Making it available on request by adults only.
 - c) Removing and disposing of the resource.
 - d) Carrying out further review of the resource.
 - 4. The Library Manager will contact the complainant and inform his/her of the decision of the Board.

Northern Lights Library Board Chauvin Municipal Library Policy – reviewed/approved June 7, 2022

Subject: Censorship	Policy: Request for Reconsideration of a Publication
Date Approved:	Date revised:
Member's Request for Library Resource:	Reconsideration of a Publication
Author:	
Title	
Publisher	
Copyright Date: Description	
Request Initiated by:	, Paperback, Tape etc)
Name:	
Telephone:	
Address:	
Complaint: 1. How did you learn of this resource: 2. How much of the resource did you study: 3. To what do you object (indicate part of the description). 4. In your opinion, does it contain anything of the street of the description of the description. 5. In your opinion, what purpose is it suppose (resource, informational, recreational). 6. Can you suggest an alternate resource to reach duthor: Title: Complainant's signature Date:	of value? sed to be serving in the library?

Review Date:

Member's Request for Reconsideration of a Publication – reviewed/approved June 7, 2022

Library Resource:	
Author:	
Title	
Publisher	
Copyright Date:	Description:
	(Hardcover, Paperback, Tape etc)
Request Initiated by	:
Name:	
Telephone:	
Address:	
Complaint:	
 How did you learn of this resource: How much of the resource did you study: To what do you object (indicate part of the resource) In your opinion, does it contain anything of value? In your opinion, what purpose is it supposed to be serving in the library? (resource, informational, recreational) Can you suggest an alternate resource to replace it? Author: Title: 	
Complainan	t's signature
Date:	